

文献一覧

Minds

1章 文献

Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011) Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. National Academies Press

2章 文献

- AGREE Next Steps Consortium. (2009) The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic version]. <http://www.agreetrust.org> (参照 2013/02/28)
- AGREE Next Steps Consortium. (2017) The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic version]. <http://www.agreetrust.org> (参照 2019/10/10)
- Guidelines International Network (G-I-N). (2015) G-I-N Public Toolkit: Patient and Public Involvement in Guidelines. <https://g-i-n.net/document-store/working-groups-documents/g-i-n-public/toolkit/toolkit-2015> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Guyatt G, et al. (2010) The vexing problem of guidelines and conflict of interest: a potential solution. *Ann Intern Med* 152:738-741. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-152-11-201006010-00254](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-152-11-201006010-00254). PMID: [20479011](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20479011/)
- International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. (2019) Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals (Updated December 2019) <http://www.icmje.org/> (参照 2021/03/03)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2009) Conflict of Interest in Medical Research, Education, and Practice. National Academies Press
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011a) Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. National Academies Press
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011b) Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews. National Academies Press
- Melissa J, et al. (2017) Framework for enhancing clinical practice guidelines through continuous patient engagement. *Health Expect* 20:3-10. doi: [10.1111/hex.12467](https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.12467). PMID: [27115476](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27115476/)
- Minds 患者・市民支援部会. (2017) 「診療ガイドライン作成への患者・市民の参加」の基本的な考え方. <https://minds.jcqh.or.jp/docs/minds/guideline/pdf/Proposal3.pdf> (参照 2021/02/24)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2015) NICE's approach to public involvement in guidance and standards: a practical guide. <https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/About/NICE-Communities/Public-involvement/Public-involvement-programme/PIP-process-guide-apr-2015.pdf> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Schünemann HJ, et al. (2009) An official American Thoracic Society Policy statement: managing conflict of interest in professional societies. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 180:564-580. doi: [10.1164/rccm.200901-0126ST](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200901-0126ST). PMID: [19734351](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19734351/)
- Schünemann HJ, et al. (2015) Guidelines international network: principles for disclosure of interests and management of conflicts in guidelines. *Ann Intern Med* 163:548-553. doi: [10.7326/M14-1885](https://doi.org/10.7326/M14-1885). PMID: [26436619](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26436619/)
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). (2019) SIGN 100: A handbook for patient and career representatives. <https://www.sign.ac.uk/assets/sign100.pdf> (参照 2021/02/24)

- 浅見万里子 他. (2007) 診療ガイドライン作成過程への患者・支援者参画のためのガイドライン “Patient Involvement Guidelines” (略称: PIGL). <http://www.kanjyakai.net/manage/wp-content/themes/kanjakai/docs/guideline01.pdf> (参照 2021/02/24)
- 厚生労働省. (2018) 厚生労働科学研究における利益相反の管理に関する指針. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10600000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka/0000152586.pdf> (参照 2019/10/10)
- 全国医学部長病院長会議. (2018) 医学系研究機関における組織 COI 管理ガイダンス. https://www.ajmc.jp/pdf/20190425_01.pdf (参照 2021/03/03)
- 日本医学会利益相反委員会. (2017) 診療ガイドライン策定参加資格基準ガイダンス. http://jams.med.or.jp/guideline/clinical_guidance.pdf (参照 2021/03/03)
- 日本医学会利益相反委員会. (2020) COI 管理ガイドライン. http://jams.med.or.jp/guideline/coi_guidelines_2020.pdf (参照 2021/03/03)

3章 文献

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). (2014) Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/cer-methods-guide_overview.pdf (参照 2021/01/22)
- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2016a) GRADE evidence to decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. *BMJ* 353:i2016. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i2016](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2016). PMID: [27353417](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27353417/)
- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2016b) GRADE evidence to decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 2: Clinical practice guidelines. *BMJ* 353:i2089. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i2089](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2089). PMID: [27365494](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27365494/)
- Dalkey N, et al. (1969) The Delphi Method, III: Use of Self Ratings to Improve Group Estimates. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_memoranda/2006/RM6115.pdf (参照 2021/01/22)
- GRADE Working Group. (2015) Evidence to Decision (EtD) Frameworks Guidance. https://s3.amazonaws.com/ietd_pdf/EtD+guidance+updated+2015+05+19.pdf (参照 2021/01/22)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2015) Guideline panels should not GRADE good practice statements. *J Clin Epidemiol* 68:597-600. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.12.011). PMID: [25660962](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25660962/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2016) Guideline panels should seldom make good practice statements: guidance from the GRADE working group. *J Clin Epidemiol* 80:3-7. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.07.006). PMID: [27452192](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27452192/)
- Harris RP, et al. (2001) Current methods of the US preventive services task force: a review of the process. *Am J Prev Med* 20:21-35. doi: [10.1016/s0749-3797\(01\)00261-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(01)00261-6). PMID: [11306229](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11306229/)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2014) Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg20/chapter/developing-review-questions-and-planning-the-evidence-review> (参照 2021/01/22)
- Schünemann H, et al. (2013) 3. Selecting and rating the importance of outcomes. *GRADE Handbook*. <https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html> (参照 2021/01/22)
- Straus SE, et al. (2010) *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach It*. Fourth ed. Churchill Livingstone
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2014) 14. Strong recommendations when the evidence is low quality. *WHO handbook for guideline development*. Second ed. World Health Organization.
- Williamson PR, et al. (2012) Developing core outcome sets for clinical trials: issues to consider. *Trials* 13:132. doi: [10.1186/1745-6215-13-132](https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-13-132). PMID: [22867278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22867278/)

4章 文献

- Aberlegg SK, et al. (2010) Delta inflation: a bias in the design of randomized controlled trials in critical care medicine. *Crit Care* 14:R77. doi: [10.1186/cc8990](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc8990). PMID: [20429873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20429873/)
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). (2012) *Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews*. AHRQ Publication No. 10(12)-EHC063-EF. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Als-Nielsen B, et al. (2003) Association of funding and conclusions in randomized drug trials: a reflection of treatment effect or adverse events? *JAMA* 290:921-928. doi: [10.1001/jama.290.7.921](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.290.7.921). PMID: [12928469](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12928469/)
- Arber M, et al. (2017) Which information sources should be used to identify studies for systematic reviews of economic evaluations in healthcare? *Value in Health* 20:PA738.
- Armijo-Olivo S, et al. (2015) What is the influence of randomisation sequence generation and allocation concealment on treatment effects of physical therapy trials? A meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ Open* 5:e008562. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008562](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008562). PMID: [26338841](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26338841/)
- Armijo-Olivo S, et al. (2017) Blinding in physical therapy trials and its association with treatment effects: a meta-epidemiological study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 96:34-44. doi: [10.1097/PHM.0000000000000521](https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000000521). PMID: [27149591](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27149591/)
- Atkins D, et al. (2011) *Assessing the Applicability of Studies When Comparing Medical Interventions*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. *Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews*. AHRQ Publication No. 11-EHC019-EF. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Bafeta A, et al. (2012) Impact of single centre status on estimates of intervention effects in trials with continuous outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ* 344:e813. doi: [10.1136/bmj.e813](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e813). PMID: [22334559](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22334559/)
- Balshem H, et al. (2011) GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 64:401-406. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015). PMID: [21208779](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21208779/)
- Bassler D, et al. (2013) Reflections on meta-analyses involving trials stopped early for benefit: is there a problem and if so, what is it? *Stat Methods Med Res* 22:159-168. doi: [10.1177/0962280211432211](https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280211432211). [Epub 2011 Dec 13](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22170891/). PMID: [22170891](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22170891/)
- Berkman ND, et al. (2014) *The Empirical Evidence of Bias in Trials Measuring Treatment Differences*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. PMID: [25392898](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25392898/)
- Bialy L, et al. (2014) A meta-epidemiological study to examine the association between bias and treatment effects in neonatal trials. *Evid Based Child Health* 9:1052-1059. doi: [10.1002/ebch.1985](https://doi.org/10.1002/ebch.1985). PMID: [25504975](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25504975/)
- Brignardello-Petersen R, et al. (2019) GRADE approach to rate the certainty from a network meta-analysis: addressing incoherence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 108:77-85. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.11.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.11.025). [Epub 2018 Dec 5](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30529648/). PMID: [30529648](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30529648/)
- Britton A, et al. (1999) Threats to applicability of randomised trials: exclusions and selective participation.

- J Health Serv Res Policy 4:112-121. doi: [10.1177/135581969900400210](https://doi.org/10.1177/135581969900400210). PMID: [10387403](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10387403/)
- Cancer Research and Biostatistics (CRAB). Statistical Tools. <https://stattools.crab.org/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Carrasco-Labra A, et al. (2015) Comparison between the standard and a new alternative format of the Summary-of-Findings tables in Cochrane review users: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 16:164. doi: [10.1186/s13063-015-0649-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-015-0649-6). PMID: [25873338](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25873338/)
- Carrasco-Labra A, et al. (2016) Improving GRADE evidence tables part 1: a randomized trial shows improved understanding of content in summary of findings tables with a new format. *J Clin Epidemiol* 74:7-18. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.12.007). Epub 2016 Jan 11. PMID: [26791430](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26791430/)
- Centre for Clinical Research and Biostatistics (CCRB). (2017) Sample Size Calculation. <http://www2.ccrb.cuhk.edu.hk/web/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Chalmers TC, et al. (1983) Bias in treatment assignment in controlled clinical trials. *N Engl J Med* 309:1358-1361. doi: [10.1056/NEJM198312013092204](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198312013092204). PMID: [6633598](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6633598/)
- Chan AW, et al. (2004a) Empirical evidence for selective reporting of outcomes in randomized trials: comparison of protocols to published articles. *JAMA* 291:2457-2465. doi: [10.1001/jama.291.20.2457](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.20.2457). PMID: [15161896](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15161896/)
- Chan AW, et al. (2004b) Outcome reporting bias in randomized trials funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. *CMAJ* 171:735-740. doi: [10.1503/cmaj.1041086](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.1041086). PMID: [15451835](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15451835/)
- Chang SM, et al. (2012) Methods Guide for Medical Test Reviews [Internet]. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) PMID: 22834019. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK98241/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Chess LE, et al. (2013) Risk of bias of randomized controlled trials published in orthopaedic journals. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 13:76. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-13-76](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-76). PMID: [23758875](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23758875/)
- Clark T, et al. (2013) Sample size determinations in original research protocols for randomised clinical trials submitted to UK research ethics committees: review. *BMJ* 346:f1135. doi: [10.1136/bmj.f1135](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f1135). PMID: [23518273](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23518273/)
- Dechartres A, et al. (2011) Reviews assessing the quality or the reporting of randomized controlled trials are increasing over time but raised questions about how quality is assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol* 64:136-144. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.015). PMID: [20705426](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20705426/)
- Deeks JJ, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Version 1.0.0. The Cochrane Collaboration. <http://methods.cochrane.org/sdt/handbook-dta-reviews> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Dias S, et al. TSDs completed or currently in progress. <http://nicedsu.org.uk/technical-support-documents/technical-support-documents/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Dias S, et al. (2010) Checking consistency in mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. *Stat Med* 29:932-944. doi: [10.1002/sim.3767](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3767). PMID: [20213715](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20213715/)
- Dias S, et al. (2011) NICE DSU Technical Support Document 2: A generalised linear modelling framework for pairwise and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. <http://www.nicedsu.org.uk> (参照 2021/02/24)

- Dias S, et al. (2018) Network Meta-Analysis for Decision Making. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Driessen E, et al. (2015) Does publication bias inflate the apparent efficacy of psychological treatment for major depressive disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis of US national institutes of health-funded trials. PLoS One 10:e0137864. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0137864](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137864). PMID: [26422604](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26422604/)
- Dwan K, et al. (2010) Assessing the potential for outcome reporting bias in a review: a tutorial. Trials 11:52. doi: [10.1186/1745-6215-11-52](https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-11-52). PMID: [20462436](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20462436/)
- Fenwick J, et al. (2008) The effect of bias on the magnitude of clinical outcomes in periodontology: a pilot study. J Clin Periodontol 35:775-782. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01291.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01291.x). PMID: [18840153](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18840153/)
- Gagnier JJ, et al. (2012) Investigating clinical heterogeneity in systematic reviews: a methodologic review of guidance in the literature. BMC Med Res Methodol 12:111. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-12-111](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-12-111). PMID: [22846171](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22846171/)
- Gordon M. et al. (2020) forestplot: Advanced Forest Plot Using 'grid' Graphics. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/forestplot/index.html> (参照 2021/02/24)
- GRADEpro GDT. (2021) <https://gradepro.org/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Gurusamy KS, et al. (2009) Assessment of risk of bias in randomized clinical trials in surgery. Br J Surg 96:342-349. doi: [10.1002/bjs.6558](https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.6558). PMID: [19283747](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19283747/)
- Guyatt G, et al. (2011a) GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol 64:383-394. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026). PMID: [21195583](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21195583/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011b) GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. J Clin Epidemiol 64:395-400. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.012). PMID: [21194891](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21194891/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011c) GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence--study limitations (risk of bias). J Clin Epidemiol 64:407-415. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.017). PMID: [21247734](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21247734/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011d) GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence--publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1277-1282. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.011). PMID: [21802904](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21802904/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011e) GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence--imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1283-1293. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.012). PMID: [21839614](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21839614/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011f) GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence--inconsistency. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1294-1302. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.03.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.03.017). PMID: [21803546](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21803546/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011g) GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence--indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1303-1310. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.04.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.04.014). PMID: [21802903](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21802903/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2011h) GRADE guidelines: 9. Rating up the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1311-1316. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.06.004). PMID: [21802902](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21802902/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2012) GRADE guidelines: 12. Preparing summary of findings tables-binary outcomes. J Clin Epidemiol 66:158-172. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.012). PMID: [22609141](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22609141/)
- Guyatt G, et al. (2013a) GRADE guidelines: 11. Making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. J Clin Epidemiol 66:151-157. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.006). PMID: [22542023](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22542023/)
- Guyatt GH, et al. (2013b) GRADE guidelines: 13. Preparing summary of findings tables and evidence

- profiles-continuous outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:173-183. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.08.001). PMID: [23116689](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23116689/)
- Hahn S, et al. (2000) Assessing the potential for bias in meta-analysis due to selective reporting of subgroup analyses within studies. *Stat Med* 19:3325-3336. doi: [10.1002/1097-0258\(20001230\)19:24<3325::aid-sim827>3.0.co;2-d](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0258(20001230)19:24<3325::aid-sim827>3.0.co;2-d). PMID: [11122498](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11122498/)
- Hamm MP, et al. (2010) A descriptive analysis of a representative sample of pediatric randomized controlled trials published in 2007. *BMC Pediatr* 10:96. doi: [10.1186/1471-2431-10-96](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-10-96). PMID: [21176224](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21176224/)
- Hartling L, et al. (2011) Applying the risk of bias tool in a systematic review of combination long-acting beta-agonists and inhaled corticosteroids for persistent asthma. *PLoS One* 6:e17242. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0017242](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017242). PMID: [21390219](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21390219/)
- Hartling L, et al. (2013) Testing the risk of bias tool showed low reliability between individual reviewers and across consensus assessments of reviewer pairs. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:973-981. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.07.005). PMID: [22981249](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22981249/)
- Hawkins N, et al. (2016) ‘Arm-based’ parameterization for network meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods* 7:306-313. doi: [10.1002/jrsm.1187](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1187). PMID: [26610409](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26610409/)
- Haynes RB, et al. (2004) Optimal search strategies for retrieving scientifically strong studies of diagnosis from MEDLINE: analytical survey. *BMJ* 328:1040. doi: [10.1136/bmj.38068.557998.EE](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38068.557998.EE). PMID: [15073027](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15073027/).
- Hedin RJ, et al. (2016) Publication bias and nonreporting found in majority of systematic reviews and meta-analyses in anesthesiology journals. *Anesth Analg* 123:1018-1025. doi: [10.1213/ANE.0000000000001452](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000001452). PMID: [27537925](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27537925/)
- Herbison P, et al. (2011) Different methods of allocation to groups in randomized trials are associated with different levels of bias. A meta-epidemiological study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 64:1070-1075. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.12.018). PMID: [21474279](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21474279/)
- Higgins JP, et al. (2011a) The Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 343:d5928. doi: [10.1136/bmj.d5928](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d5928). PMID: [22008217](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22008217/)
- Higgins JPT, et al. (2011b) *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5.1.0. <http://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Higgins JPT, et al. (2019) RoB 2 tool A revised Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized trials. <https://www.riskofbias.info/welcome/rob-2-0-tool> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Hong H, et al. (2015) Incorporation of individual-patient data in network meta-analysis for multiple continuous endpoints, with application to diabetes treatment. *Stat Med* 34:2794–2819. doi: [10.1002/sim.6519](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6519). PMID: [25924975](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25924975/)
- Hong H, et al. (2016) A Bayesian missing data framework for generalized multiple outcome mixed treatment comparisons. *Res Synth Methods* 7:6–22. doi: [10.1002/jrsm.1153](https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1153). PMID: [26536149](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26536149/)
- Howard BE, et al. (2016) SWIFT-review: a text-mining workbench for systematic review. *Syst Rev* 5:87. doi: [10.1186/s13643-016-0263-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0263-z). PMID: [27216467](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27216467/)
- Hróbjartsson A, et al. (2012) Observer bias in randomised clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. *BMJ* 344:e1119. doi: [10.1136/bmj](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj).

- [e1119](#). PMID: [22371859](#)
- Hughes MD, et al. (1992) The impact of stopping rules on heterogeneity of results in overviews of clinical trials. *Biometrics* 48:41-53. PMID: [1581492](#)
- Hultcrantz M, et al. (2017) The GRADE working group clarifies the construct of certainty of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 87:4-13. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.05.006](#). PMID: [28529184](#)
- Hutton B, et al. (2015) The PRISMA extension statement. *Ann Intern Med* 163:566-567. doi: [10.7326/L15-5144-2](#). PMID: [26436629](#)
- Inaba Y, et al. (2009) Impact of single or multicentre study design on the results of trials examining the efficacy of adjunctive devices to prevent distal embolisation during acute myocardial infarction. *EuroIntervention* 5:375-383. doi: [10.4244/v5i3a59](#). PMID: [19736164](#)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011a) *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. National Academies Press
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011b) *Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for systematic reviews*. National Academies Press
- Ioannidis JP. (2011) Excess significance bias in the literature on brain volume abnormalities. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 68:773-780. doi: [10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.28](#). PMID: [21464342](#)
- Jüni P, et al. (1999) The hazards of scoring the quality of clinical trials for meta-analysis. *JAMA* 282:1054-1060. doi: [10.1001/jama.282.11.1054](#). PMID: [10493204](#)
- Kirkham JJ, et al. (2010) The impact of outcome reporting bias in randomised controlled trials on a cohort of systematic reviews. *BMJ* 340:c365. doi: [10.1136/bmj.c365](#). PMID: [20156912](#)
- Kjaergard LL, et al. (2001) Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. *Ann Intern Med* 135:982-989. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-135-11-200112040-00010](#). PMID: [11730399](#)
- Knudsen AB, et al. (2016) Estimation of benefits, burden, and harms of colorectal cancer screening strategies: modeling study for the US preventive services task force. *JAMA* 315:2595-2609. doi: [10.1001/jama.2016.6828](#). PMID: [27305518](#)
- Krahn U, et al. (2013) A graphical tool for locating inconsistency in network meta-analyses. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 13:35. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-13-35](#). PMID: [23496991](#)
- Krogh J, et al. (2015) DEPEROR: Risks of systematic errors in drug and non-drug randomized clinical trials assessing intervention effects in patients with unipolar depression. *J Affect Disord* 179:121-127. doi: [10.1016/j.jad.2015.03.042](#). PMID: [25863907](#)
- Kunz R, et al. (2007) Randomisation to protect against selection bias in healthcare trials. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* MR000012. doi: [10.1002/14651858.MR000012.pub2](#). PMID: [17443633](#)
- Kyzas PA, et al. (2005) Selective reporting biases in cancer prognostic factor studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 97:1043-1055. doi: [10.1093/jnci/dji184](#). PMID: [16030302](#)
- Lee A, et al. (2006) Publication bias affected the estimate of postoperative nausea in an acupoint stimulation systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol* 59:980-983. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.02.003](#). PMID: [16895822](#)

- Lefebvre C, et al. (2020) Chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies. In: Higgins JPT, et al. editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Lin L, et al. (2017) Performing arm-based network meta-analysis in R with the pcnetmeta package. J Stat Softw 80. doi: [10.18637/jss.v080.i05](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i05). PMID: [28883783](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28883783/) [パッケージ : <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pcnetmeta/>] (参照 2021/02/24)
- Linde K, et al. (1999) Impact of study quality on outcome in placebo-controlled trials of homeopathy. J Clin Epidemiol 52:631-636. doi: [10.1016/s0895-4356\(99\)00048-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356(99)00048-7). PMID: [10391656](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10391656/)
- Liu CJ, et al. (2011) Do unblinded assessors bias muscle strength outcomes in randomized controlled trials of progressive resistance strength training in older adults? Am J Phys Med Rehabil 90:190-196. doi: [10.1097/PHM.0b013e31820174b3](https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e31820174b3). PMID: [21173683](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21173683/)
- Marshall IJ, et al. (2016) RobotReviewer: evaluation of a system for automatically assessing bias in clinical trials. J Am Med Inform Assoc 23:193-201. doi: [10.1093/jamia/ocv044](https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv044). PMID: [26104742](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26104742/)
- McDonagh M, et al. (2013) Avoiding Bias in Selecting Studies. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. (Prepared by the Oregon Health & Science University, McMaster University, and Southern California Evidencebased Practice Centers under Contract No. 290-2007-10057-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 13- EHC045-EF. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/methods-guidance-bias_methods.pdf (参照 2021/02/24)
- McGowan J, et al. (2016) PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Explanation and Elaboration (PRESS E&E). Ottawa: CADTH. https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/CP0015_PRESS_Update_Report_2016.pdf (参照 2021/03/08)
- McGuire W, et al. (2010) The effect of fluconazole prophylaxis in very low birthweight infants is overestimated by before-after studies. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 95:F182-187. doi: [10.1136/adc.2009.160796](https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2009.160796). PMID: [19822526](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19822526/)
- McInnes MDF, et al. (2018) Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies: the PRISMA-DTA statement. JAMA 319:388-396. doi: [10.1001/jama.2017.19163](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.19163). PMID: [29362800](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29362800/)
- McLeod BD, et al. (2004) Using dissertations to examine potential bias in child and adolescent clinical trials. J Consult Clin Psychol 72:235-251. doi: [10.1037/0022-006X.72.2.235](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.72.2.235). PMID: [15065958](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15065958/)
- Mhaskar R, et al. (2012) Published methodological quality of randomized controlled trials does not reflect the actual quality assessed in protocols. J Clin Epidemiol 65:602-609. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.10.016). PMID: [22424985](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22424985/)
- Millard LA, et al. (2016) Machine learning to assist risk-of-bias assessments in systematic reviews. Int J Epidemiol 45:266-277. doi: [10.1093/ije/dyv306](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv306). PMID: [26659355](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26659355/)
- Mills EJ, et al. (2013) Demystifying trial networks and network meta-analysis. BMJ 346:f2914. doi: [10.1136/bmj.f2914](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f2914). PMID: [23674332](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23674332/)
- Morton SC, et al. (2018) Quantitative Synthesis-An Update. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness

- Reviews. (Prepared by the Scientific Resource Center under Contract No. 290-2012-0004-C). AHRQ Publication No. 18-EHC007- EF. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Posted final reports are located on the Effective Health Care Program search page. <https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQPCMETHGUIDE3> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Niemeyer H, et al. (2012) Publication bias in meta-analyses of the efficacy of psychotherapeutic interventions for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 138:103-112. doi: [10.1016/j.schres.2012.03.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.03.023). PMID: [22484024](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22484024/)
- Norris SL, et al. (2012) Selective outcome reporting as a source of bias in reviews of comparative effectiveness. Agency for healthcare research and quality. PMID: [22993870](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22993870/)
- Nüesch E, et al. (2009a) The importance of allocation concealment and patient blinding in osteoarthritis trials: a meta-epidemiologic study. *Arthritis Rheum* 61:1633-1641. doi: [10.1002/art.24894](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24894). PMID: [19950329](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19950329/)
- Nüesch E, et al. (2009b) The effects of excluding patients from the analysis in randomised controlled trials: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ* 339:b3244. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b3244](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b3244). PMID: [19736281](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19736281/)
- O'Mara-Eves A, et al. (2015) Using text mining for study identification in systematic reviews: a systematic review of current approaches. *Syst Rev* 4:5. doi: [10.1186/2046-4053-4-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-5). PMID: [25588314](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25588314/)
- Ouzzani M, et al. (2016) Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 5:210. doi: [10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4). PMID: [27919275](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27919275/). <https://rayyan.qcri.org/welcome> (解説動画 : <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=irAOQgzFMs4>) (参照 2021/02/24)
- Peters JL, et al. (2007) Performance of the trim and fill method in the presence of publication bias and between-study heterogeneity. *Stat Med* 26:4544-4562. doi: [10.1002/sim.2889](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2889). PMID: [17476644](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17476644/)
- Pildal J, et al. (2007) Impact of allocation concealment on conclusions drawn from meta-analyses of randomized trials. *Int J Epidemiol* 36:847-857. doi: [10.1093/ije/dym087](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dym087). PMID: [17517809](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17517809/)
- Plummer M. (2017) JAGS. <http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Plummer M, et al. (2019) jags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rjags/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- pmSearch (2019) <https://stat.zanet.biz/sr/pmsearch.htm> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Poorolajal J, et al. (2010) Capture-recapture method for assessing publication bias. *J Res Med Sci* 15:107-115. PMID: [21526067](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21526067/)
- Puhan MA, et al. (2014) A GRADE working group approach for rating the quality of treatment effect estimates from network meta-analysis. *BMJ* 349:g5630. doi: [10.1136/bmj.g5630](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g5630). PMID: [25252733](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25252733/)
- Riley RD, et al. (2004) Sensitivity analyses allowed more appropriate and reliable meta-analysis conclusions for multiple outcomes when missing data was present. *J Clin Epidemiol* 57:911-924. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.01.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.01.018). PMID: [15504634](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15504634/)
- Roest AM, et al. (2015) Reporting bias in clinical trials investigating the efficacy of second-generation antidepressants in the treatment of anxiety disorders: a report of 2 meta-analyses. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72:500-510. doi: [10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.15](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.15). PMID: [25806940](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25806940/)
- Rücker G, et al. (2019) Network meta-analysis of multicomponent interventions. *Biometrical Journal*

- 62:808-821. doi: [10.1002/bimj.201800167](https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.201800167). PMID: [31021449](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31021449/) [R のパッケージ : <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/netmeta/> (参照 2021/03/08)]
- Salanti G, et al. Multiple-Treatments Meta-Analysis. <http://www.mtm.uoi.gr/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Salanti G, et al. (2011) Graphical methods and numerical summaries for presenting results from multiple-treatment meta-analysis: an overview and tutorial. *J Clin Epidemiol* 64:163-171. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.016). PMID: [20688472](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20688472/)
- Savovi J, et al. (2012) Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. *Health Technol Assess* 16:1-82. doi: [10.3310/hta16350](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta16350). PMID: [22989478](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22989478/)
- Schou IM, et al. (2013) Meta-analysis of clinical trials with early stopping: an investigation of potential bias. *Stat Med* 32:4859-4874. doi: [10.1002/sim.5893](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5893). PMID: [23824994](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23824994/)
- Schulz KF, et al. (1995) Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *JAMA* 273:408-412. doi: [10.1001/jama.273.5.408](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.273.5.408). PMID: [7823387](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7823387/)
- Schünemann H, et al. (2013) GRADE Handbook. <http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Schünemann HJ (2016) Interpreting GRADE's levels of certainty or quality of the evidence: GRADE for statisticians, considering review information size or less emphasis on imprecision? *J Clin Epidemiol* 75:6-15. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.03.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.03.018). PMID: [27063205](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27063205/)
- Schünemann HJ, et al. (2019a) GRADE guidelines: 18. How ROBINS-I and other tools to assess risk of bias in nonrandomized studies should be used to rate the certainty of a body of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 111:105-114. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.01.012). PMID: [29432858](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29432858/)
- Schünemann HJ, et al. (2019b) GRADE guidelines: 22. The GRADE approach for tests and strategies—from test accuracy to patient-important outcomes and recommendations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 111:69-82. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.02.003). PMID: [30738926](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30738926/)
- Schwarzer G, et al. (2010) Empirical evaluation suggests Copas selection model preferable to trim-and-fill method for selection bias in meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 63:282-288. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.05.008). PMID: [19836925](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19836925/)
- Selva A, et al. (2017) Development and use of a content search strategy for retrieving studies on patients' views and preferences. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 15:126. doi: [10.1186/s12955-017-0698-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-017-0698-5). PMID: [28851437](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28851437/)
- Shea BJ, et al. (2007) Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 7:10. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-7-10](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-10). PMID: [17302989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17302989/)
- Shea BJ, et al. (2017) AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomized or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ* 358:j4008. doi: [10.1136/bmj.j4008](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008). PMID: [28935701](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28935701/)
- Shemilt I, et al. (2019) Chapter 20: Economic evidence. In: Higgins JPT, et al. editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/>

v6 (参照 2021/02/24)

- Song F, et al. (2000) Publication and related biases. *Health Technol Assess* 4:1-115. PMID: [10932019](#)
- Song F, et al. (2010) Dissemination and publication of research findings: an updated review of related biases. *Health Technol Assess* 14:iii, ix-xi, 1-193. doi: [10.3310/hta14080](#). PMID: [20181324](#)
- Sox HC, et al. (2013) *Medical decision making*, 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell
- Sterne JA, et al. (2016) ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* 355:i4919. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i4919](#). PMID: [27733354](#). <https://www.riskofbias.info/welcome/home/current-version-of-robins-i> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Sterne JA, et al. (2001) Systematic reviews in health care: Investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *BMJ* 323:101-105. doi: [10.1136/bmj.323.7304.101](#). PMID: [11451790](#)
- Sterne JAC, et al. (2019) RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 366:14898. doi: [10.1136/bmj.14898](#). PMID: [31462531](#)
- Tierney JF, et al. (2007) Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. *Trials* 8:16. doi: [10.1186/1745-6215-8-16](#). PMID: [17555582](#)
- Turner RM, et al. (2009) Bias modelling in evidence synthesis. *J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc* 172:21-47. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-985X.2008.00547.x](#). PMID: [19381328](#)
- Unverzagt S, et al. (2013) Single-center trials tend to provide larger treatment effects than multicenter trials: a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:1271-1280. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.05.016](#). PMID: [23972520](#)
- Vale CL, et al. (2013) Can trial quality be reliably assessed from published reports of cancer trials: evaluation of risk of bias assessments in systematic reviews. *BMJ* 346:f1798. doi: [10.1136/bmj.f1798](#). PMID: [23610376](#)
- van Aert RC, et al. (2016) Conducting meta-analyses based on p values: reservations and recommendations for applying p-uniform and p-curve. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 11:713-729. doi: [10.1177/1745691616650874](#). PMID: [27694466](#)
- van Hoorn R, et al. (2016) The development of PubMed search strategies for patient preferences for treatment outcomes. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 16:88. doi: [10.1186/s12874-016-0192-5](#). PMID: [27473226](#)
- van Tulder MW, et al. (2009) Empirical evidence of an association between internal validity and effect size in randomized controlled trials of low-back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 34:1685-1692. doi: [10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181ab6a78](#). PMID: [19770609](#)
- van Valkenhoef G, et al. gemtc. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gemtc/> (参照 2021/02/24)
- van Valkenhoef G, et al. (2016) Automated generation of node-splitting models for assessment of inconsistency in network meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods* 7:80-93. doi: [10.1002/jrsm.1167](#). PMID: [26461181](#)
- Viechtbauer W. (2020) metafor: Meta-Analysis Package for R. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/metafor/index.html> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Viswanathan M, et al. (2012) Development of the RTI item bank on risk of bias and precision of observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 65:163-178. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.05.008](#). PMID: [21959223](#)

- Viswanathan M, et al. (2017) Assessing the Risk of Bias in Systematic Reviews of Health Care Interventions. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. (Prepared by the Scientific Resource Center under Contract No. 290-2012-0004-C). AHRQ Publication No. 17(18)-EHC036-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Posted final reports are located on the Effective Health Care Program search page. <https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQEPCMETHGUIDE2> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Watzlawick R, et al. (2014) Effect and reporting bias of RhoA/ROCK-blockade intervention on locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Neurol 71:91-99. doi: [10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.4684](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.4684). PMID: [24297045](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24297045/)
- Wessels M, et al. (2016) How to identify existing literature on patients' knowledge, views, and values: the development of a validated search filter. J Med Libr Assoc 104:320-324. doi: [10.3163/1536-5050.104.4.014](https://doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.104.4.014). PMID: [27822157](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27822157/)
- White CM, et al. (2009) Using existing systematic reviews to replace de novo processes in conducting Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. In: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/methods-guidance-de-novo-processes_methods.pdf (参照 2021/02/24)
- White IR, et al. (2019) A comparison of arm-based and contrast-based models for network meta-analysis. Stat Med 38:5197-5213. doi: [10.1002/sim.8360](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.8360). PMID: [31583750](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31583750/)
- Whiting PF, et al. (2011) QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 155:529-536. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009). PMID: [22007046](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22007046/)
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2019) WHO Handbook for Guideline Development. 2nd edition. 17: Developing guideline recommendations for tests or diagnostic tools. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/145714/9789241548960-chap17-eng.pdf?sequence=20&isAllowed=y> (参照 2021/02/24)
- Wood L, et al. (2008) Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. BMJ 336:601-605. doi: [10.1136/bmj.39465.451748.AD](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39465.451748.AD). PMID: [18316340](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18316340/)
- Yepes-Nuñez JJ, et al. (2019) Development of the summary of findings table for network meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 115:1-13. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.04.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.04.018). PMID: [31055177](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31055177/)
- Zhang J, et al. (2014) Network meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials: reporting the proper summaries. Clin Trials 11:246-262. doi: [10.1177/1740774513498322](https://doi.org/10.1177/1740774513498322). PMID: [24096635](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24096635/)
- Zhang J, et al. (2019) Bayesian hierarchical methods for meta-analysis combining randomized-controlled and single-arm studies. Stat Methods Med Res 28:1293-1310. doi: [10.1177/0962280218754928](https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280218754928). PMID: [29433407](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29433407/)
- Zhang Y, et al. (2017) Using patient values and preferences to inform the importance of health outcomes in practice guideline development following the GRADE approach. Health Qual Life Outcomes 15:52. doi: [10.1186/s12955-017-0621-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-017-0621-0). PMID: [28460638](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28460638/)
- Zhang E, et al. (2017) TrialSize. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TrialSize/index.html> (参照

2021/02/24)

- 上岡洋晴 他. (2018) 「AMSTAR 2：ヘルスケア介入のランダム化または非ランダム化研究あるいは両方を含むシステマティック・レビューのための厳密な評価ツール」の紹介と解. 薬理と治療 46:111-113.
- 河合富士美 他. (2017) システマティックレビュー検索式査読のためのガイドライン：PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies. 医学図書館 64:75-78.
- 森實敏夫 他. (2015) 特別寄稿 5 診断に関する診療ガイドライン（CPG）の作成. https://minds.icqhc.or.jp/s/guidance_special_articles5_1 (参照 2021/1/28)

5章 文献

- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2016) GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. *BMJ* 353:i2016. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i2016](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2016). PMID: [27353417](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27353417/)
- Ara R, et al. (2017) The identification, review and synthesis of health state utility values from the literature. *Pharmacoeconomics* 35:43-55. doi: [10.1007/s40273-017-0547-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-017-0547-8). PMID: [29052156](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29052156/)
- Arber M, et al. (2016) Performance of search filters to identify health state utility studies. *Value in Health* 19:A390-A391.
- Arber M, et al. (2017) Performance of ovid MEDLINE search filters to identify health state utility studies. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 33:472-480. doi: [10.1017/S0266462317000897](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462317000897). PMID: [29065942](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29065942/)
- Arber, M. et al. (2018) Which databases should be used to identify studies for systematic reviews of economic evaluations? *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 34:547-554. doi: [10.1017/S0266462318000636](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462318000636). PMID: [30442221](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30442221/)
- Arber M, et al. (2018) Which databases should be used to identify studies for systematic reviews of economic evaluations? *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 34:547-554. doi: [10.1017/S0266462318000636](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462318000636). PMID: [30442221](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30442221/)
- Brunetti M, et al. (2013) GRADE guidelines: 10. Considering resource use and rating the quality of economic evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:140-150. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.04.012). PMID: [22863410](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22863410/)
- Drummond MF, et al. (1996) Guidelines for authors and peer reviewers of economic submissions to the *BMJ*. *BMJ* 313:275-283. doi: [10.1136/bmj.313.7052.275](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.313.7052.275). PMID: [8704542](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8704542/)
- Drummond MF, et al. (2015) *Methods for Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes*. Fourth ed. Oxford University Press
- European network for Health Technology Assessment. (2020) METHODOLOGICAL GUIDELINES: Practical considerations when critically assessing economic evaluations. https://eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/EUnetHTA-JA3WP6B2-5-Guidance-Critical-Assessment-EE_v1-0.pdf (参照 2020/10/25)
- Frick K, et al. (2012) *Usefulness of Economic Evaluation Data in Systematic Reviews of Evidence* [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK114533/> (参照 2020/10/15)
- Glanville J, et al. (2009) How well do search filters perform in identifying economic evaluations in MEDLINE and EMBASE. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 25:522-529. doi: [10.1017/S0266462309990523](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462309990523). PMID: [19845982](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19845982/)
- Husereau D, et al. (2013) Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)- explanation and elaboration: a report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force. *Value Health* 16:231-250. doi: [10.1016/j.jval.2013.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.02.002). PMID: [23538175](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23538175/)

- ISSG Search Filters Resource. <https://sites.google.com/a/york.ac.uk/issg-search-filters-resource/home> (参照 2020/10/25)
- Luce BR, et al. (1996) Estimating costs in cost-effectiveness analysis. In: Gold MR, et al. editors. Cost-effectiveness in health and medicine. Oxford University Press
- McKinlay RJ, et al. (2006) Optimal search strategies for detecting cost and economic studies in EMBASE. BMC Health Serv Res 6:67. doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-6-67](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-6-67). PMID: [16756662](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16756662/)
- National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (NCCMH). (2014) Antenatal and postnatal mental health (update): clinical management and service guidance. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg192/evidence> (参照 2020/10/25)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2020) Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. [PMG20] <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg20/> (参照 2020/10/15)
- Paisley S. (2016) Identification of evidence for key parameters in decision-analytic models of cost effectiveness: a description of sources and a recommended minimum search requirement. Pharmacoeconomics 34:597-608. doi: [10.1007/s40273-015-0372-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-015-0372-x). PMID: [26861793](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26861793/)
- Papiaoannou D, et al. (2010) NICE DSU Technical support document 9: the identification, review and synthesis of health state utility values from the literature. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28481496/> (参照 2020/10/15)
- Philips Z, et al. (2006) Good practice guidelines for decision-analytic modelling in health technology assessment: a review and consolidation of quality assessment. Pharmacoeconomics 24:355-371. doi: [10.2165/00019053-200624040-00006](https://doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200624040-00006). PMID: [16605282](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16605282/)
- Shemilt I, et al. (2019) Chapter 20: Economic evidence. In: Higgins JPT, et al. editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019). <https://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook> (参照 2020/10/15)
- SchARRHUD (Health Utilities Database) <http://www.scharrhud.org/> (参照 2021/03/06)
- Thielen FW, et al. (2016) How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for clinical practice guidelines: database selection and search strategy development (part 2/3). Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 16:705-721. doi: [10.1080/14737167.2016.1246962](https://doi.org/10.1080/14737167.2016.1246962). PMID: [27805466](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27805466/)
- van Mastrigt GA, et al. (2016) How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for informing evidence-based healthcare decisions: a five-step approach (part 1/3). Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 16:689-704. doi: [10.1080/14737167.2016.1246960](https://doi.org/10.1080/14737167.2016.1246960). PMID: [27805469](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27805469/)
- Wilczynski NL, et al. (2004) Optimal search strategies for detecting health services research studies in MEDLINE. CMAJ 171:1179-1185. doi: [10.1503/cmaj.1040512](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.1040512). PMID: [15534310](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15534310/)
- Wijnen B, et al. (2016) How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for informing evidence-based healthcare decisions: data extraction, risk of bias, and transferability (part 3/3). Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 16:723-732. doi: [10.1080/14737167.2016.1246961](https://doi.org/10.1080/14737167.2016.1246961). PMID: [27762640](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27762640/)

6章 文献

- Akl EA, et al. (2017) GRADE equity guidelines 2: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: equity extension of the guideline development checklist. *J Clin Epidemiol* 90:68-75. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.01.017). PMID: [28499847](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28499847/)
- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2016a) GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. *BMJ* 353:i2016. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i2016](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2016). PMID: [27353417](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27353417/)
- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2016b) GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 2: Clinical practice guidelines. *BMJ* 353:i2089. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i2089](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2089). PMID: [27365494](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27365494/)
- Alper BS, et al. (2019) Defining certainty of net benefit: a GRADE concept paper. *BMJ Open* 9:e027445. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027445](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027445). PMID: [31167868](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31167868/)
- Andrews JC, et al. (2013a) GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:719-725. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.03.013). PMID: [23312392](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23312392/).
- Andrews JC, et al. (2013b) GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a recommendation's direction and strength. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:726-735. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.02.003). PMID: [23570745](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23570745/).
- Boyd CM, et al. (2012) *Methods for Benefit and Harm Assessment in Systematic Reviews*. AHRQ Methods for Effective Health Care (book) PMID: [23326898](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23326898/)
- Broekhuizen H, et al. (2015) A review and classification of approaches for dealing with uncertainty in multi-criteria decision analysis for healthcare decisions. *Pharmacoeconomics* 33:445-455. doi: [10.1007/s40273-014-0251-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-014-0251-x). PMID: [25630758](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25630758/)
- Delbecq AL, et al. (1971) A group process model for problem identification and Program planning. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* 7:466-492
- Dalkey N, et al. (1969) The Delphi Method, III: Use of Self Ratings to Improve Group Estimates. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_memoranda/2006/RM6115.pdf (参照 2021/02/25)
- Dellinger RP, et al. (2008) Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2008. *Crit Care Med* 36:296-327. doi: [10.1097/01.CCM.0000298158.12101.41](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000298158.12101.41). PMID: [18158437](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18158437/)
- Dolan JG, et al. (2013) Patients' preferences and priorities regarding colorectal cancer screening. *Med Decis Making* 33:59-70. doi: [10.1177/0272989X12453502](https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X12453502). PMID: [22895558](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22895558/)
- Fitch K, et al. (2001) *The RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method User's Manual*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1269.html (参照 2021/02/25)
- Gail MH, et al. (1999) Weighing the risks and benefits of tamoxifen treatment for preventing breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 91:1829-46. doi: [10.1093/jnci/91.21.1829](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/91.21.1829). PMID: [10547390](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10547390/)

- Guyatt G, et al. (2013) GRADE guidelines: 11. Making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66:151-157. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.01.006). PMID: [22542023](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22542023/)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011) *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. National Academies Press
- Jaeschke R, et al. (2008) Use of GRADE grid to reach decisions on clinical practice guidelines when consensus is elusive. *BMJ* 337:327-330. doi: [10.1136/bmj.a744](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a744). PMID: [18669566](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18669566/)
- Keeney R, et al. (1993) *Decisions with multiple objectives: Preferences and Value Trade-Offs*. Cambridge University Press
- Marsh K, et al. (2016) Multiple criteria decision analysis for health care decision making--emerging good practices: report 2 of the ISPOR MCDA emerging good practices task force. *Value Health* 19:125-37. doi: [10.1016/j.jval.2015.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2015.12.016). PMID: [27021745](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27021745/)
- Marsh K, et al. (2017) *Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis to Support Healthcare Decisions*. Springer
- Moberg J, et al. (2015) GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) Frameworks Guidance. Version 1.1 [updated May 2015], The GRADE Working Group. <https://ietd.epistemonikos.org/#/help/guidance> (参照 2021/02/25)
- Mt-Isa S, et al. (2014) Balancing benefit and risk of medicines: a systematic review and classification of available methodologies. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 23:667-78. doi: [10.1002/pds.3636](https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3636). PMID: [24821575](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24821575/)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2015) *Research recommendations Process and methods guide*. <https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/Science-policy-and-research/research-recommendation-process-methods-guide-2015.pdf> (参照 2021/02/25)
- Pharmacoepidemiological Research on Outcomes of Therapeutics by a European Consortium (PROTECT) <http://www.protectbenefitrisk.eu/index.html> (参照 2021/02/25)
- Pottie K, et al. (2017) GRADE equity guidelines 4: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: evidence to decision process. *J Clin Epidemiol* 90:84-91. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.001). PMID: [28802675](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28802675/)
- Rehfuess EA, et al. (2019) The WHO-INTEGRATE evidence to decision framework version 1.0: integrating WHO norms and values and a complexity perspective. *BMJ Glob Health* 4:e000844. doi: [10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000844](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000844). PMID: [30775012](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30775012/)
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). (2011) *SIGN 50: A guideline developer's handbook. Revised Edition*. https://www.sign.ac.uk/media/1642/sign50_2011.pdf (参照 2021/02/25)
- Thokala P, et al. (2016) Multiple criteria decision analysis for health care decision making--an introduction: report 1 of the ISPOR MCDA emerging good practices task force. *Value Health* 19:1-13. doi: [10.1016/j.jval.2015.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2015.12.003). PMID: [26797229](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26797229/)
- Welch VA, et al. (2017a) GRADE equity guidelines 1: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: introduction and rationale. *J Clin Epidemiol* 90:59-67. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.01.014). PMID: [28412464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28412464/)

7章 文献

- AGREE Next Steps Consortium. (2009) The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic version]. <http://www.agreetrust.org> (参照 2013/02/27)
- Brouwers MC, et al. (2016) The AGREE reporting checklist: a tool to improve reporting of clinical practice guidelines. *BMJ* 352:i1152. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i1152](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i1152). PMID: [26957104](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26957104/)
- Chen Y, et al. (2017) A reporting tool for practice guidelines in health care: the RIGHT statement. *Ann Intern Med* 166:128-132. doi: [10.7326/M16-1565](https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-1565). PMID: [27893062](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27893062/)
- Guidelines International Patient and Public Involvement Working Group (G-I-N PUBLIC). (2015) G-I-N PUBLIC Toolkit: Patient and Public Involvement in Guidelines. https://g-i-n.net/document-store/resources/toolkit/gin-public-toolkit-final/at_download/file (参照 2021/02/22)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011a) *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. National Academies Press
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011b) *Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews*. National Academies Press
- Kashyap N, et al. (2011) GLIA: GuideLine Implementability Appraisal v. 2.0. Yale Center for Medical Informatics. http://nutmeg.med.yale.edu/glia/doc/GLIA_v2.pdf (参照 2021/02/22)
- Liberati A, et al. (2009) The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med* 6:e1000100. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100). PMID: [19621070](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19621070/)
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2012) *The Guidelines Manual: Process and Methods*. <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg6/resources/the-guidelines-manual-pdf-2007970804933> (参照 2021/02/22)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). (2013) Factsheet 2: How organizations representing patients and cares can get involved. <https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/About/NICE-Communities/Public-involvement/Developing-NICE-guidance/Factsheet-2-contribute-to-developing-clinical-guidelines.pdf> (参照 2021/02/22)
- Shea BJ, et al. (2007) Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Res Methodol* 7:10. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-7-10](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-10). PMID: [17302989](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17302989/)
- Shea BJ, et al. (2017) AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ* 358:j4008. doi: [10.1136/bmj.j4008](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008). PMID: [28935701](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28935701/)
- Shiffman RN, et al. (2003) Standardized reporting of clinical practice guidelines: a proposal from the conference on guideline standardization. *Ann Intern Med* 139:493-498. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-139-6-200309160-00013](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-139-6-200309160-00013). PMID: [13679327](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/13679327/)

8章 文献

- Alonso-Coello P, et al. (2011) The updating of clinical practice guidelines: insights from an international survey. *Implement Sci.* 6:107. doi: [10.1186/1748-5908-6-107](https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-107). PMID: [21914177](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21914177/)
- Higgins JPT, et al. (2011) *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0*. <http://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/> (参照 2021/02/22)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). (2011) *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. National Academies Press
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2012) *The Guidelines Manual: Process and Methods*. <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg6/resources/the-guidelines-manual-pdf-2007970804933> (参照 2021/02/22)
- Shekelle PG, et al. (2001) Validity of the agency for healthcare research and quality clinical practice guidelines: how quickly do guidelines become outdated? *JAMA* 286:1461-1467. doi: [10.1001/jama.286.12.1461](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.286.12.1461). PMID: [11572738](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11572738/)
- Shojania KG, et al. (2007) How quickly do systematic reviews go out of date? a survival analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 147:224-233. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-147-4-200708210-00179](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-147-4-200708210-00179). PMID: [17638714](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17638714/)
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2012) *WHO Handbook for Guideline Development*. World Health Organization.