

Minds 診療ガイドライン作成マニュアル 2017

参考文献

2017年12月27日版

1章

Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2011. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust.

Edited by Robin Graham, Michelle Mancher, Dianne Miller Wolman, Sheldon Greenfield, and Earl Steinberg. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

2章

AGREE Next Steps Consortium (2009). The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic version] Retrieved February 28, 2013, from <http://www.agreetrust.org>

ICMJE. Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication. available from <http://www.icmje.org/> (2013.2.28accessed)

厚生労働省. 厚生労働科学研究における利益相反の管理に関する指針. 2008. available from <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/seisakunitsuite/bunya/hokabunya/kenkyujigyou/i-kenkyu/index.htmlorg/> (2013.2.28accessed)

Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2009. Conflict of Interest in Medical Research, Education, and Practice. Washington, D. C.: National Academies Press.

日本医学会. 2011. 医学研究のCOIマネジメントに関するガイドライン.

G-I-N PUBLIC . G-I-N PUBLIC Toolkit: Patient and Public Involvement in Guidelines. 2012. available from <http://www.g-i-n.net/activities/gin-public/toolkit> (2013.2.28 accessed)

3章

相原守夫 他. 2010. 診療ガイドラインのためのGRADEシステム - 治療介入 -, 凸版メディア.

4章

Aberegg SK, Richards DR, O'Brien JM: Delta inflation: a bias in the design of randomized controlled trials in critical care medicine. Crit Care 2010;14:R77. PMID: 20429873

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 10(12)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. April 2012. Chapters available at: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov.

Als-Nielsen B, Chen W, Gluud C, Kjaergard LL: Association of funding and conclusions in randomized drug trials: a reflection of treatment effect or adverse events? JAMA 2003;290:921-8. PMID: 12928469

Armijo-Olivo S, Fuentes J, da Costa BR, Saltaji H, Ha C, Cummings GG: Blinding in

- Physical Therapy Trials and Its Association with Treatment Effects: A Meta-epidemiological Study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2017;96:34–44. PMID: 27149591
- Armijo-Olivo S, Saltaji H, da Costa BR, Fuentes J, Ha C, Cummings GG: What is the influence of randomisation sequence generation and allocation concealment on treatment effects of physical therapy trials? A meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008562. PMID: 26338841
- Atkins D, Chang S, Gartlehner G, Buckley DI, Whitlock EP, Berliner E, Matchar D: Assessing the Applicability of Studies When Comparing Medical Interventions. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2011. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 11-EHC019-EF
- Bafeta A, Dechartres A, Trinquart L, Yavchitz A, Boutron I, Ravaud P: Impact of single centre status on estimates of intervention effects in trials with continuous outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ* 2012;344:e813. PMID: 22334559
- Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Meerpohl J, Norris S, Guyatt GH: GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:401–6. PMID: 21208779
- Bassler D, Montori VM, Briel M, Glasziou P, Walter SD, Ramsay T, Guyatt G: Reflections on meta-analyses involving trials stopped early for benefit: is there a problem and if so, what is it? *Stat Methods Med Res* 2013;22:159–68. PMID: 22170891
- Berkman ND, Santaguida PL, Viswanathan M, Morton SC: The Empirical Evidence of Bias in Trials Measuring Treatment Differences. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) (Book). 2014; PMID: 25392898
- Bialy L, Vandermeer B, Lacaze-Masmonteil T, Dryden DM, Hartling L: A meta-epidemiological study to examine the association between bias and treatment effects in neonatal trials. *Evid Based Child Health* 2014;9:1052–9. PMID: 25504975
- Britton A, McKee M, Black N, McPherson K, Sanderson C, Bain C: Threats to applicability of randomised trials: exclusions and selective participation. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 1999;4:112–21. PMID: 10387403
- Cancer Research And Biostatistics (CRAB). Statistical Tools. 2017. (<https://stattools.crab.org/>)
- Carrasco-Labra A, Brignardello-Petersen R, Santesso N, Neumann I, Mustafa RA, Mbuagbaw L, Etxeandia Ikobaltzeta I, De Stio C, McCullagh LJ, Alonso-Coello P, Meerpohl JJ, Vandvik PO, Brozek JL, Akl EA, Bossuyt P, Churchill R, Glenton C, Rosenbaum S, Tugwell P, Welch V, Garner P, Guyatt G, Schünemann HJ: Improving

『診療ガイドライン作成マニュアル』

参考文献

(2017年12月27日版)

- GRADE evidence tables part 1: a randomized trial shows improved understanding of content in summary of findings tables with a new format. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016;74:7-18. PMID: 26791430
- Carrasco-Labra A, Brignardello-Petersen R, Santesso N, Neumann I, Mustafa RA, Mbuagbaw L, Ikobaltzeta IE, De Stio C, McCullagh LJ, Alonso-Coello P, Meerpoli JJ, Vandvik PO, Brozek JL, Akl EA, Bossuyt P, Churchill R, Glenton C, Rosenbaum S, Tugwell P, Welch V, Guyatt G, Schünemann H: Comparison between the standard and a new alternative format of the Summary-of-Findings tables in Cochrane review users: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2015;16:164. PMID: 25873338
- Center for Clinical Trials (CCT). Sample Size Calculation. 2017.
(<http://www.cct.cuhk.edu.hk/cctwebsite/default.aspx>)
- Chalmers, T. C., P. Celano, H. S. Sacks, and H. Smith Jr.. 1983. Bias in treatment assignment in controlled clinical trials. *N Eng J of Med* 309(22):1358-61.
- Chan AW, Hrobjartsson A, Haahr MT, Gotzsche PC, Altman DG: Empirical evidence for selective reporting of outcomes in randomized trials: comparison of protocols to published articles. *JAMA* 2004a ;291:2457-65. PMID: 15161896
- Chan AW, Krleza-Jeric K, Schmid I, Altman DG: Outcome reporting bias in randomized trials funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. *CMAJ* 2004b ;171:735-40. PMID: 15451835
- Chess LE, Gagnier J: Risk of bias of randomized controlled trials published in orthopaedic journals. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2013;13:76. PMID: 23758875
- Clark T, Berger U, Mansmann U: Sample size determinations in original research protocols for randomised clinical trials submitted to UK research ethics committees: review. *BMJ* 2013;346:f1135. PMID: 23518273
- Dechartres A, Charles P, Hopewell S, Ravaud P, Altman DG: Reviews assessing the quality or the reporting of randomized controlled trials are increasing over time but raised questions about how quality is assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:136-44. PMID: 20705426
- Deeks JJ, Bossuyt PM and Gatsonis C eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Version 1.0.0*. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2013.
(<http://methods.cochrane.org/sdt/handbook-dta-reviews>)
- Driessen E, Hollon SD, Bockting CL, Cuijpers P, Turner EH: Does Publication Bias Inflate the Apparent Efficacy of Psychological Treatment for Major Depressive Disorder? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of US National Institutes of Health-Funded Trials. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0137864. PMID: 26422604
- Dwan K, Gamble C, Kolamunnage-Dona R, Mohammed S, Powell C, Williamson PR:

- Assessing the potential for outcome reporting bias in a review: a tutorial.
Trials 2010;11:52. PMID: 20462436
- Fenwick J, Needleman IG, Moles DR: The effect of bias on the magnitude of clinical outcomes in periodontology: a pilot study. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35:775-82. PMID: 18840153
- Fu R, et al: Chapter 12. Conducting Quantitative Synthesis When Comparing Medical Interventions. In Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. AHRQ Publication No. 10(14)-EHC063-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. January 2014. Chapters available at: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov.
- Gordon, Max and Thomas Lumley. forestplot: Advanced Forest Plot Using 'grid' Graphics. 2017. (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/forestplot/index.html>)
- Gurusamy KS, Gluud C, Nikolova D, Davidson BR: Assessment of risk of bias in randomized clinical trials in surgery. Br J Surg 2009;96:342-9. PMID: 19283747
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Glasziou P, DeBeer H, Jaeschke R, Rind D, Meerpohl J, Dahm P, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol 2011a;64:383-94. PMID: 21195583
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Alonso-Coello P, Atkins D, Kunz R, Montori V, Jaeschke R, Rind D, Dahm P, Akl EA, Meerpohl J, Vist G, Berliner E, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 11. Making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. J Clin Epidemiol 2013a;66:151-7. PMID: 22542023
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Atkins D, Brozek J, Vist G, Alderson P, Glasziou P, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. J Clin Epidemiol 2011b;64:395-400. PMID: 21194891
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, Devereaux PJ, Montori VM, Freyschuss B, Vist G, Jaeschke R, Williams JW Jr, Murad MH, Sinclair D, Falck-Ytter Y, Meerpohl J, Whittington C, Thorlund K, Andrews J, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence--imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol 2011e;64:1283-93. PMID: 21839614
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Falck-Ytter Y, Jaeschke R, Vist G, Akl EA, Post PN, Norris S, Meerpohl J, Shukla VK, Nasser M, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence--indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol 2011g;64:1303-10. PMID: 21802903

『診療ガイドライン作成マニュアル』

参考文献

(2017年12月27日版)

- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Akl EA, Norris S, Vist G, Dahm P, Shukla VK, Higgins J, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence--inconsistency. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011f;64:1294-302. PMID: 21803546
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Djulbegovic B, Atkins D, Falck-Ytter Y, Williams JW Jr, Meerpoli J, Norris SL, Akl EA, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence--publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011d;64:1277-82. PMID: 21802904
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Santesso N, Helfand M, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Norris S, Meerpoli J, Djulbegovic B, Alonso-Coello P, Post PN, Busse JW, Glasziou P, Christensen R, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 12. Preparing summary of findings tables-binary outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013b;66:158-72. PMID: 22609141
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Glasziou P, Akl EA, Alonso-Coello P, Atkins D, Kunz R, Brozek J, Montori V, Jaeschke R, Rind D, Dahm P, Meerpoli J, Vist G, Berliner E, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Murad MH, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 9. Rating up the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011h;64:1311-6. PMID: 21802902
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Montori V, Akl EA, Djulbegovic B, Falck-Ytter Y, Norris SL, Williams JW Jr, Atkins D, Meerpoli J, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence--study limitations (risk of bias). *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011c;64:407-15. PMID: 21247734
- Guyatt GH, Thorlund K, Oxman AD, Walter SD, Patrick D, Furukawa TA, Johnston BC, Karanicolas P, Akl EA, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Kupper LL, Martin SL, Meerpoli JJ, Alonso-Coello P, Christensen R, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 13. Preparing summary of findings tables and evidence profiles-continuous outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013c;66:173-83. PMID: 23116689
- Hahn S, Williamson PR, Hutton JL, Garner P, Flynn EV: Assessing the potential for bias in meta-analysis due to selective reporting of subgroup analyses within studies. *Stat Med* 2000;19:3325-36. PMID: 11122498
- Hamm MP, Hartling L, Milne A, Tjosvold L, Vandermeer B, Thomson D, Curtis S, Klassen TP: A descriptive analysis of a representative sample of pediatric randomized controlled trials published in 2007. *BMC Pediatr* 2010;10:96. PMID: 21176224
- Hartling L, Bond K, Vandermeer B, Seida J, Dryden DM, Rowe BH: Applying the risk of bias tool in a systematic review of combination long-acting beta-agonists and inhaled corticosteroids for persistent asthma. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e17242.

PMID: 21390219

- Hartling L, Hamm MP, Milne A, Vandermeer B, Santaguida PL, Ansari M, Tsertsvadze A, Hempel S, Shekelle P, Dryden DM: Testing the risk of bias tool showed low reliability between individual reviewers and across consensus assessments of reviewer pairs. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66:973–81. PMID: 22981249
- Hedin RJ, Umberham BA, Detweiler BN, Kollmorgen L, Vassar M: Publication Bias and Nonreporting Found in Majority of Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses in Anesthesiology Journals. *Anesth Analg* 2016;123:1018–25. PMID: 27537925
- Herbison P, Hay-Smith J, Gillespie WJ: Different methods of allocation to groups in randomized trials are associated with different levels of bias. A meta-epidemiological study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:1070–5. PMID: 21474279
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA; Cochrane Bias Methods Group; Cochrane Statistical Methods Group: The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2011;343:d5928. PMID: 22008217
- Higgins, Julian PT and Sally Green eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5.1.0. 2011. (<http://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/>)
- Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Hróbjartsson A, Boutron I, Reeves B, Eldridge S. A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials In: Chandler J, McKenzie J, Boutron I, Welch V eds. *Cochrane Methods. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 10 (Suppl 1). dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD201601.
- Hróbjartsson A, Thomsen AS, Emanuelsson F, Tendal B, Hilden J, Boutron I, Ravaud P, Brorson S: Observer bias in randomised clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and non-blinded outcome assessors. *BMJ* 2012;344:e1119. PMID: 22371859
- Hughes MD, Freedman LS, Pocock SJ: The impact of stopping rules on heterogeneity of results in overviews of clinical trials. *Biometrics* 1992;48:41–53. PMID: 1581492
- Hultcrantz M, Rind D, Akl EA, Treweek S, Mustafa RA, Iorio A, Alper BS, Meerpolh JJ, Murad MH, Ansari MT, Katikireddi SV, Ostlund P, Tranaeus S, Christensen R, Gartlehner G, Brozek J, Izcovich A, Schünemann H, Guyatt G: The GRADE Working Group clarifies the construct of certainty of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017;. PMID: 28529184
- Inaba Y, Chen JA, Mehta N, Bergmann SR: Impact of single or multicentre study design on the results of trials examining the efficacy of adjunctive devices to prevent distal embolisation during acute myocardial infarction.

『診療ガイドライン作成マニュアル』

参考文献

(2017年12月27日版)

- EuroIntervention 2009;5:375–83. PMID: 19736164
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Washington, D. C.: Institute of Medicine.
(<http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2011/Clinical-Practice-Guidelines-We-Can-Trust.aspx>)
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for systematic reviews. 2011. Washington, D. C.: Institute of Medicine.
(<http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2011/Finding-What-Works-in-Health-Care-Standards-for-Systematic-Reviews/Standards.aspx>)
- Ioannidis JP: Excess significance bias in the literature on brain volume abnormalities. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2011;68:773–80. PMID: 21464342
- Juni P, Witschi A, Bloch R, Egger M: The hazards of scoring the quality of clinical trials for meta-analysis. JAMA 1999;282:1054–60. PMID: 10493204
- Kirkham JJ, Dwan KM, Altman DG, Gamble C, Dodd S, Smyth R, Williamson PR: The impact of outcome reporting bias in randomised controlled trials on a cohort of systematic reviews. BMJ 2010;340:c365. PMID: 20156912
- Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, Gluud C: Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med 2001;135:982–9. PMID: 11730399
- Krogh J, Hjorthoj CR, Jakobsen JC, Lindschou J, Kessing LV, Nordentoft M, Gluud C: DEPERROR: Risks of systematic errors in drug and non-drug randomized clinical trials assessing intervention effects in patients with unipolar depression. J Affect Disord 2015;179:121–7. PMID: 25863907
- Kunz R, Vist G, Oxman AD: Randomisation to protect against selection bias in healthcare trials. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;MR000012. PMID: 17443633
- Kyzas PA, Loizou KT, Ioannidis JP: Selective reporting biases in cancer prognostic factor studies. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:1043–55. PMID: 16030302
- Lee A, Copas JB, Henmi M, Gin T, Chung RC: Publication bias affected the estimate of postoperative nausea in an acupoint stimulation systematic review. J Clin Epidemiol 2006;59:980–3. PMID: 16895822
- Linde K, Scholz M, Ramirez G, Clausius N, Melchart D, Jonas WB: Impact of study quality on outcome in placebo-controlled trials of homeopathy. J Clin Epidemiol 1999;52:631–6. PMID: 10391656
- Liu CJ, LaValley M, Latham NK: Do unblinded assessors bias muscle strength outcomes in randomized controlled trials of progressive resistance strength training in older adults? Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2011;90:190–6. PMID: 21173683
- McDonagh M, Peterson K, Raina P, Chang S, Shekelle P: Avoiding Bias in Selecting

- Studies. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. (Prepared by the Oregon Health & Science University, McMaster University, and Southern California Evidencebased Practice Centers under Contract No. 290–2007–10057–I.) AHRQ Publication No. 13EHC045-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; February 2013.
www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reports/final.cfm.
- McGowan J et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Explanation and Elaboration (PRESS E&E). Ottawa: CADTH; 2016. [internet]. https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/CP0015_PRESS_Update_Report_2016.pdf
- McGuire W, Clerihew L: The effect of fluconazole prophylaxis in very low birthweight infants is overestimated by before-after studies. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2010;95:F182–7. PMID: 19822526
- McLeod BD, Weisz JR: Using dissertations to examine potential bias in child and adolescent clinical trials. J Consult Clin Psychol 2004;72:235–51. PMID: 15065958
- Mhaskar R, Djulbegovic B, Magazin A, Soares HP, Kumar A: Published methodological quality of randomized controlled trials does not reflect the actual quality assessed in protocols. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:602–9. PMID: 22424985
- Niemeyer H, Musch J, Pietrowsky R: Publication bias in meta-analyses of the efficacy of psychotherapeutic interventions for schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 2012;138:103–12. PMID: 22484024
- Norris SL, Holmer HK, Ogden LA, Fu R, Abou-Setta AM, Viswanathan MS, McPheeters ML: Selective Outcome Reporting as a Source of Bias in Reviews of Comparative Effectiveness. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) (Book). 2012; PMID: 22993870
- Nuesch E, Reichenbach S, Trelle S, Rutjes AW, Liewald K, Sterchi R, Altman DG, Juni P: The importance of allocation concealment and patient blinding in osteoarthritis trials: a meta-epidemiologic study. Arthritis Rheum 2009a;61:1633–41. PMID: 19950329
- Nuesch E, Trelle S, Reichenbach S, Rutjes AW, Burgi E, Scherer M, Altman DG, Juni P: The effects of excluding patients from the analysis in randomised controlled trials: meta-epidemiological study. BMJ 2009b;339:b3244. PMID: 19736281
- Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, Rushton L: Performance of the trim and fill method in the presence of publication bias and between-study heterogeneity. Stat Med 2007;26:4544–62. PMID: 17476644
- Pildal J, Hróbjartsson A, Jorgensen KJ, Hilden J, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC: Impact

『診療ガイドライン作成マニュアル』

参考文献

(2017年12月27日版)

- of allocation concealment on conclusions drawn from meta-analyses of randomized trials. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36:847–57. PMID: 17517809
- Poorolajal J, Haghdoost AA, Mahmoodi M, Majdzadeh R, Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Fotouhi A: Capture-recapture method for assessing publication bias. *J Res Med Sci* 2010;15:107–15. PMID: 21526067
- Riley RD, Sutton AJ, Abrams KR, Lambert PC: Sensitivity analyses allowed more appropriate and reliable meta-analysis conclusions for multiple outcomes when missing data was present. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004;57:911–24. PMID: 15504634
- Roest AM, de Jonge P, Williams CD, de Vries YA, Schoevers RA, Turner EH: Reporting Bias in Clinical Trials Investigating the Efficacy of Second-Generation Antidepressants in the Treatment of Anxiety Disorders: A Report of 2 Meta-analyses. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015;72:500–10. PMID: 25806940
- Savovic J, Jones H, Altman D, Harris R, Juni P, Pildal J, Als-Nielsen B, Balk E, Gluud C, Gluud L, Ioannidis J, Schulz K, Beynon R, Welton N, Wood L, Moher D, Deeks J, Sterne J: Influence of reported study design characteristics on intervention effect estimates from randomised controlled trials: combined analysis of meta-epidemiological studies. *Health Technol Assess* 2012;16:1–82. PMID: 22989478
- Schou IM, Marschner IC: Meta-analysis of clinical trials with early stopping: an investigation of potential bias. *Stat Med* 2013;32:4859–74. PMID: 23824994
- Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, Altman DG: Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *JAMA* 1995;273:408–12. PMID: 7823387
- Schünemann HJ: Interpreting GRADE's levels of certainty or quality of the evidence: GRADE for statisticians, considering review information size or less emphasis on imprecision? *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016;75:6–15. PMID: 27063205
- Schünemann, Holger, Jan Brožek, Gordon Guyatt and Andrew Oxman. Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach. 2013.
(<http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html>)
- Schwarzer G, Carpenter J, Rucker G: Empirical evaluation suggests Copas selection model preferable to trim-and-fill method for selection bias in meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2010;63:282–8. PMID: 19836925
- Song F, Eastwood AJ, Gilbody S, Duley L, Sutton AJ: Publication and related biases. *Health Technol Assess* 2000;4:1–115. PMID: 10932019
- Song F, Parekh S, Hooper L, Loke YK, Ryder J, Sutton AJ, Hing C, Kwok CS, Pang C, Harvey I: Dissemination and publication of research findings: an updated review

- of related biases. *Health Technol Assess* 2010;14:iii, ix-xi, 1-193. PMID: 20181324
- Sterne JA, Egger M, Smith GD: Systematic reviews in health care: Investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2001;323:101-5. PMID: 11451790
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR: Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. *Trials* 2007;8:16. PMID: 17555582
- Turner RM, Spiegelhalter DJ, Smith GC, Thompson SG: Bias modelling in evidence synthesis. *J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc* 2009;172:21-47. PMID: 19381328
- Unverzagt S, Prondzinsky R, Peinemann F: Single-center trials tend to provide larger treatment effects than multicenter trials: a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66:1271-80. PMID: 23972520
- Vale CL, Tierney JF, Burdett S: Can trial quality be reliably assessed from published reports of cancer trials: evaluation of risk of bias assessments in systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2013;346:f1798. PMID: 23610376
- van Aert RC, Wicherts JM, van Assen MA: Conducting Meta-Analyses Based on p Values: Reservations and Recommendations for Applying p-Uniform and p-Curve. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2016;11:713-729. PMID: 27694466
- van Hoorn R, Kievit W, Booth A, Mozygemb K, Lysdahl KB, Refolo P, Sacchini D, Gerhardus A, van der Wilt GJ, Tummers M: The development of PubMed search strategies for patient preferences for treatment outcomes. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2016;16:88. PMID: 27473226
- van Tulder MW, Suttorp M, Morton S, Bouter LM, Shekelle P: Empirical evidence of an association between internal validity and effect size in randomized controlled trials of low-back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2009;34:1685-92. PMID: 19770609
- Viechtbauer, Wolfgang. metafor: Meta-Analysis Package for R. 2017. (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/metafor/index.html>)
- Viswanathan M, Berkman ND: Development of the RTI item bank on risk of bias and precision of observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2012;65:163-78. PMID: 21959223
- Watrzlawick R, Sena ES, Dirnagl U, Brommer B, Kopp MA, Macleod MR, Howells DW, Schwab JM: Effect and reporting bias of RhoA/ROCK-blockade intervention on locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol* 2014;71:91-9. PMID: 24297045
- Wessels M, Hielkema L, van der Weijden T: How to identify existing literature on

- patients' knowledge, views, and values: the development of a validated search filter. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2016;104:320–324. PMID: 27822157
- White CM, Ip S, McPheeters M, et al. Using existing systematic reviews to replace de novo processes in conducting Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. In: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews [posted September 2009]. Rockville, MD. Available at: <http://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/healthInfo.cfm?infotype=rr&ProcessID=60>.
- Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, Schulz KF, Juni P, Altman DG, Gluud C, Martin RM, Wood AJ, Sterne JA: Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ* 2008;336:601–5. PMID: 18316340
- Zhang Y, Coello PA, Brožek J, Wiercioch W, Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta I, Akl EA, Meerpohl JJ, Alhazzani W, Carrasco-Labra A, Morgan RL, Mustafa RA, Riva JJ, Moore A, Yépez-Nuñez JJ, Cuello-García C, AlRayees Z, Manja V, Falavigna M, Neumann I, Brignardello-Petersen R, Santesso N, Rochwerg B, Darzi A, Rojas MX, Adi Y, Bollig C, Waziry R, Schünemann HJ: Using patient values and preferences to inform the importance of health outcomes in practice guideline development following the GRADE approach. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2017;15:52. PMID: 28460638
- Zhang, Ed, Vicky Qian Wu, Shein-Chung Chow and Harry G. Zhang. *TrialSize*. 2017. (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TrialSize/index.html>)

5章

- Akl, Elie A., Vivian Welch, Kevin Pottie, et al. 2017. GRADE equity guidelines 2: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: equity extension of the guideline development checklist. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 90: 68–75.
- Alonso-Coello, Pablo, Holger Schünemann, Jenny Moberg, et al. 2016a. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) Frameworks: a Systematic and Transparent Approach to Making Well Informed Healthcare Choices. 1: Introduction. *BMJ* 2016; 353:i2016.
- Alonso-Coello, Pablo, Andrew D. Oxman, Jenny Moberg, et al. 2016b. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) Frameworks: a Systematic and Transparent Approach to Making Well Informed Healthcare Choices. 2: Clinical Practice Guidelines. *BMJ* 2016; 353:i2089.
- Andrews, Jeffrey C., Gordon Guyatt, Andrew D. Oxman, et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from Evidence to Recommendations: the Significance and

- Presentation of Recommendations. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 66: 719–725.
- Andrews, Jeffrey C., Holger Schünemann, Andrew D. Oxman, et al. 2013. GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from Evidence to Recommendation: Determinants of a Recommendation's Direction and Strength. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 66: 726–735.
- Dalkey N, Brown B, Cochran S. The Delphi Method, III: Use of Self Ratings to Improve Group Estimates. Santa Monica, Calif: Rand Corp; November 1969. Publication RM-6115-PR.
- Dellinger, R. Phillip, Mitchell M. Levy, Jean M. Carlet, et al. 2008. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2008. *Critical Care Medicine* 36(1):296–327.
- Fitch, Kathryn, Steven J. Bernstein, Maria Dolores Aguilar, Bernard Burnand, Juan Ramon LaCalle, Pablo Lazaro, Mirjam van het Loo, Joseph McDonnell, Janneke Vader and James P. Kahan. The RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method User's Manual. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2001.
https://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1269.html. Also available in print form.
- GRADE Working Group. 2011. GRADE: Introductory Workshop Therapy GRADEpro version 20110822. GIN Berlin 2012.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Alonso-Coello P, Atkins D, Kunz R, Montori V, Jaeschke R, Rind D, Dahm P, Akl EA, Meerpohl J, Vist G, Berliner E, Norris S, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ: GRADE guidelines: 11. Making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66:151–7. PMID: 22542023
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2011. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Edited by Robin Graham, Michelle Mancher, Dianne Miller Wolman, Sheldon Greenfield, and Earl Steinberg. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.
- Jaeschke, Roman, Gordon H. Guyatt, Phil Dellinger, Holger Schünemann, Mitchell M Levy, Regina Kunz, Susan Norris, and Julian Bion. 2008. Use of GRADE Grid to Reach Decisions on Clinical Practice Guidelines When Consensus is Elusive. *BMJ* (337): 327–330.
- Moberg J, Alonso-Coello P, Oxman AD. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) Frameworks Guidance. Version 1.1 [updated May 2015], The GRADE Working Group, 2015. Available from:<https://ietd.epistemonekos.org/#/help/guidance>
- Pottie, Kevin, Vivian Welch, Rachael Morton, et al. 2017. GRADE equity guidelines 4: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: evidence to

- decision process. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 90: 84–91.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). 2011. SIGN 50: A Guideline Developer's Handbook. Revised Edition. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.
- Welch, Vivian A, Elie A. Akl, Gordon Guyatt, et al. 2017a. GRADE equity guidelines 1: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: introduction and rationale. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 90: 59–67.
- Welch, Vivian A, Elie A. Akl, Kevin Pottie, et al. 2017b. GRADE equity guidelines 3: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: rating the certainty of synthesized evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 90: 76–83.

6章

- AGREE Next Steps Consortium. 2009. The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic version]. Retrieved February 27, 2013, from <http://www.agreertrust.org>.
- Guidelines International Patient and Public Involvement Working Group (G-I-N PUBLIC) . 2012. G-I-N PUBLIC Toolkit: Patient and Public Involvement in Guidelines. Retrieved November 23, 2013. from <http://www.g-i-n.net/document-store/working-groups-documents/g-i-n-public/toolkit/toolkit-combined.pdf>.
- Higgins JPT, Green S eds. 2011. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2011a. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Edited by Robin Graham, Michelle Mancher, Dianne Miller Wolman, Sheldon Greenfield, and Earl Steinberg. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.
- Institute of Medicine. 2011b. Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews. Edited by Jill Eden, Laura Levit, Alfred Berg, and Sally Morton. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. 2009. The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies that Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000100. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). 2012. The Guidelines Manual: Process and Methods Guide. London: NICE.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. 2013. Factsheet 2: How organizations representing patients and carers can get involved. Factsheets for patients and carers: contributing to NICE clinical guideline. London: NICE.

- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). 2011. SIGN 50: A Guideline Developer's Handbook. Revised Edition. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.
- Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, et al. 2007. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 7:10.
- Shiffman RN, Shekelle P, Overhage JM, et al. 2003. Standardized Reporting of Clinical Practice Guidelines: A Proposal from the Conference on Guideline Standardization. *Ann Intern Med* 139:493–8.
- Shiffman RN, Dixon J, Brandt C, et al. 2005. The Guideline Implementability Appraisal (GLIA): Development of an Instrument to Identify Obstacles to Guideline Implementation. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 5(23).
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2012. WHO Handbook for Guideline Development. Geneva: World Health Organization.

7章

- Alonso-Coello P, Garcia LM, Carrasco JM, et al. 2011. The Updating of Clinical Practice Guidelines: Insights from an International Survey. *Implementation Science* 6: 107.
- Higgins JPT, Green S eds. 2011. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2011. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Edited by Robin Graham, Michelle Mancher, Dianne Miller Wolman, Sheldon Greenfield, and Earl Steinberg. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). 2012. The Guidelines Manual: Process and Methods Guide. London: NICE.
- Shekelle PG, Ortiz E, Rhodes S, et al. 2001. Validity of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Clinical Practice Guidelines: How Quickly Do Guidelines Become Outdated? *JAMA* 286(12): 1461–1467.
- Shojania KG, Sampson M, Ansari MT, et al. 2007. How quickly do systematic reviews go out of date?: A survival analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 21;147(4):224–33.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2012. WHO Handbook for Guideline Development. Geneva: World Health Organization.